

## Pythagorean theorem:

Q No  $\rightarrow$  If  $x$  &  $y$  are any two orthogonal vectors in an inner product space  $E$  (or in a Hilbert Space) then,  $\|x+y\|^2 = \|x-y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2$ .

Proof: - Let  $x \perp y$ . Then  $(x/y) = 0$ . Also  $(y/x) = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \|x+y\|^2 &= (x+y/x+y) = (x/x) + (y/x) + (x/y) + (y/y) \\ &= \|x\|^2 + 0 + 0 + \|y\|^2 \\ &= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x-y\|^2 &= (x-y/x-y) = (x/x) - (y/x) - (x/y) + (y/y) \\ &= \|x\|^2 - 0 - 0 + \|y\|^2 \\ &= \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Q No  $\rightarrow$  For any non-empty subset  $S$  of a Hilbert Space  $H$ , the orthogonal complement of  $S$  i.e.  $S^\perp$  is a closed linear subspace of  $H$ , and hence  $S^\perp$  is a Hilbert Space.

Proof: - Let  $x, y \in S^\perp$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  be any scalars.

Let  $z \in S$  be arbitrary, then

$$(\alpha x + \beta y/z) = \alpha (x/z) + \beta (y/z)$$

$$= \alpha \cdot 0 + \beta \cdot 0 = 0$$

Hence,  $\alpha x + \beta y$  is orthogonal to  $S$ .

Therefore,  $\alpha x + \beta y \in S^\perp$ . Hence  $S^\perp$  is a linear subspace of  $H$ . Let  $u$  be any accumulation

Point of  $S^\perp$  in  $H$ . We shall show that  $u \in S^\perp$ . By the Property of accumulation Point in a metric space, there exists a sequence  $(x_m)$  of Points of  $S^\perp$  such that  $x_m \rightarrow u$ .

Let  $z \in S$  be arbitrary. Then by the Continuity of the inner Product function,

$(\frac{x_m}{x}) \rightarrow (\frac{u}{z})$ . But since  $x_m \in S^\perp$ ,  $(x_m/z) = 0$  for every  $z \in S$ . Hence  $(\frac{u}{z}) = \lim (\frac{x_m}{z}) = 0$  for every  $z \in S$ .

Hence,  $u \in S^\perp$ . Therefore,  $S^\perp$  is a closed linear subspace of  $H$ . Since  $H$  is Complete so  $S^\perp$  is also Complete. Hence  $S^\perp$  is a Hilbert space.

M.U.  
M.Sc. 94

Lemma of F. Riesz on closed Convex Set in a Hilbert Space)

QNo  $\rightarrow$  Prove that a closed Convex subset of a Hilbert space contains a unique vector of smallest norm.

QNo  $\rightarrow$  Let  $A$  be a closed Convex set in a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then there exists a unique  $x_0 \in A$  such that  $\|x_0\| \leq \|y\|$  for every  $y \in A$ .

Proof:- Let  $B = \text{glb} \{ \|x\| : x \in A \}$ . Then for each +ve integer  $n$ , there exists  $x_n \in A$  such that

$$B \leq \|x_n\| < B + \frac{1}{n}$$

Hence the sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $A$  is such

that  $\|x_m\| \rightarrow \beta$ . Since  $A$  is Convex,

$$\frac{x_m + x_n}{2} \in A, \text{ and hence, } \left\| \frac{x_m + x_n}{2} \right\| \geq \beta$$

By the Parallelogram law, we obtain,

$$\|x_m - x_n\|^2 = 2\|x_m\|^2 + 2\|x_n\|^2 - \|x_m + x_n\|^2$$

$$\leq 2\|x_m\|^2 + 2\|x_n\|^2 - 4\beta^2$$

$$\rightarrow 2\beta^2 + 2\beta^2 - 4\beta^2 = 0 \text{ as } m, n \rightarrow \infty$$

hence,  $\|x_m - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $\{x_m\}$

is a Cauchy sequence in  $A$ . Since  $A$  is a closed set in the Complete metric space  $H$ ,  $A$  is Complete. Hence there exists  $x_0 \in A$  such that

$x_m \rightarrow x_0$ . Since norm is continuous function  $\|x_m\| \rightarrow \|x_0\|$ . But  $\|x_m\| \rightarrow \beta$ .

Hence on account of uniqueness of limit,  $\|x_0\| = \beta$ . To show that  $x_0$  is the

unique such vector, let  $x_1$  be a vector in  $A$  different from  $x_0$  such that,

$$\|x_1\| = \beta. \text{ Then } \frac{x_0 + x_1}{2} \in A \text{ and hence}$$

$$\left\| \frac{x_0 + x_1}{2} \right\| \geq \beta \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

But by Parallelogram law,

$$\left\| \frac{x_0 + x_1}{2} \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}\|x_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|x_1\|^2 - \left\| \frac{x_0 - x_1}{2} \right\|^2$$

$$< \frac{1}{2}\|x_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|x_1\|^2 \text{ (Since, } \|x_0 - x_1\| > 0)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 = \frac{\beta^2 + \beta^2}{2} = \frac{2\beta^2}{2} = \beta^2$$

$$\text{Thus } \left\| \frac{x_0 + x_1}{2} \right\| < \beta \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

① & ② Contradict each other. Hence  $x_0$  is the unique vector in  $A$  with smallest norm.

(Defn) Let  $E$  be an inner product space and  $M$  be a subset of  $E$ . For a given  $x$  in  $E$ , an element  $y_0$  of  $M$  is said to be a best approximation to  $x$  from  $M$  if for all  $z \in M$ ,

$$\|x - y_0\| \leq \|x - z\|$$

Such  $y_0$  is also said to be an optimal solution of the problem.

Minimize  $\|x - z\|$ ,  $z \in M$ ,  $x - y_0$  is called an optimal error.

QNo → Let  $M$  be a closed linear subspace of a Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $x$  be a vector in  $H$  such that  $x$  is not in  $M$  and let  $d$  be the distance from  $x$  to  $M$  i.e.  $d = \inf \{ \|x - z\| : z \in M \}$ . Then there exists a unique vector  $y_0$  in  $M$  such that  $\|x - y_0\| = d$ . i.e. there is a unique best approximation  $y_0$  to  $x$  from  $M$ . Moreover, this  $y_0$  is the unique element of  $M$  for which  $x - y_0$  is orthogonal to  $M$ .

Proof: - Let  $x \in H$  and  $d = \inf \{ \|x - z\| : z \in M \}$ . Then for each +ve integer  $n$  there exists  $z_n \in M$  such that

$$d \leq \|x - z_n\| < d + \frac{1}{n}$$

Hence the sequence  $(z_n)$  in  $M$  is such that

$$\|x - z_n\| \rightarrow d.$$

Since  $M$  is a linear subspace of  $H$ ,  $M$  is a convex set. Hence  $\frac{(z_n + z_m)}{2} \in M$  for all  $n, m$ .

Therefore,

$$\|x - \frac{(z_n + z_m)}{2}\| \geq d.$$

Now by the Parallelogram law applied to  $z_n \rightarrow x$  and  $z_m \rightarrow x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \frac{(z_n + z_m)}{2} - x \|^2 + \| \frac{(z_n - z_m)}{2} \|^2 \\ &= 2 \| z_n - x \|^2 + 2 \| z_m - x \|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } \| z_n - z_m \|^2 &\leq 2 \| z_n - x \|^2 + 2 \| z_m - x \|^2 - 4d^2 \\ &\rightarrow 2d^2 + 2d^2 - 4d^2 = 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $z_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $M$ .

Since  $M$  is a closed set in the complete metric space  $H$  so  $M$  is complete. Hence there exists  $y_0$  in  $M$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow y_0$ . Hence,  $x - z_n \rightarrow x - y_0$ .

Since norm is a continuous function,

$$\|x - z_n\| \rightarrow \|x - y_0\|$$

$$\text{Thus, } \|x - y_0\| = \lim \|x - z_n\| = d.$$

Thus there exists  $y_0 \in M$  such that

$$\|x - y_0\| = d.$$

This shows that  $y_0$  is a best approximation to  $x$

from  $M$ . In order to show that  $y_0$  is unique, let  $y_1$  be a vector in  $M$  such that,

$$\|x - y_1\| = d.$$

Then  $\frac{(y_0 + y_1)}{2} \in M$  and hence,

$$\|x - \frac{(y_0 + y_1)}{2}\| \geq d \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

But by Parallelogram law applied to  $y_0 - x$  &  $y_1 - x$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (y_0 + y_1) - 2x \|^2 + \| y_0 - y_1 \|^2 \\ &= 2\|y_0 - x\|^2 + 2\|y_1 - x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence, } \|y_0 - y_1\|^2 &\leq 2\|y_0 - x\|^2 + 2\|y_1 - x\|^2 - 4d^2 \\ &= 2d^2 + 2d^2 - 4d^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|y_0 - y_1\| = 0$ , hence  $y_0 = y_1$ .

Hence there exists unique  $y_0 \in M$  such that

$$\|x - y_0\| = d.$$

Thus there is a unique best approximation  $y_0$  to  $x$  from  $M$ .

To show that  $(x - y_0) \in M^\perp$  Consider  $z \in M$  with  $\|z\| = 1$ .

Then,  $w = y_0 + (x - y_0, z)z \in M$ .

and, we have,

$$\|x - y_0\|^2 \leq \|x - w\|^2.$$

$$= (x - w, x - w)$$

$$= (x - y_0) - (x - y_0, z)z, (x - y_0) - (x - y_0, z)z$$

$$z/z)$$

$$= (x - y_0, x - y_0) - |(x - y_0, z)|^2$$

$$- |(x - y_0, z)|^2 + |(x - y_0, z)|^2 \cdot \|z\|^2.$$

$$= \|x - y_0\|^2 - |(x - y_0, z)|^2 \quad [ \because \|z\| = 1 ]$$

This shows that,

$$(x - y_0, z) = 0 \text{ i.e. } (x - y_0) \perp z$$

Hence,  $(x - y_0)$  is orthogonal to  $M$ .

Conversely, let  $y_0 \in M$  and  $(x - y_0) \perp M$ . Then for any  $z \in M$ , we have  $y_0 - z \in M$  so that  $(x - y_0) \perp (y_0 - z)$ .

Hence, by Pythagoras theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - z\|^2 &= \|(x - y_0) + (y_0 - z)\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y_0\|^2 + \|y_0 - z\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|x - y_0\| < \|x - z\|$  if  $y_0 \neq z$ .

This also shows that  $y_0$  is the unique best approximation to  $x$  from  $M$ .

This completes the proof.